



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,028	10/30/2007	Gorm Sande	43315-234867	4131
26694	7590	04/27/2010	EXAMINER	
VENABLE LLP			MCCUE, BRITTANY N	
P.O. BOX 34385			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			2169	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,028	SANDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brittany N. McCue	2169	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2009.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-19-09 has been entered.

Remarks

The request for continued examination was received on 1-26-10. Claims 1-15 and 17-32 are pending in the application. Claim 16 was previously cancelled. Applicants' arguments have been carefully and respectfully considered.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claims 1, 7, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant et al. (US 6,636,875) of record and further in view of Miller (US 5,506,984).

Claims 2-6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller and further in view of Zhu, Jun "A Web Services Based Framework for Integration of Power Systems Applications", IEEE Power & Energy Magazine, November/December 2003 (referred to as Zhu herein).

Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of A. DeVos et al., *XML for CIM Model Exchange*, IEEE, 2001 (referred to herein as DeVos) of record.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hamsa (US 6,564,201) of record.

Claims 17-23, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant et al. (US 6,636,875) of record and further in view of Miller (US 5,506,984) and Zhu, Jun “A Web Services Based Framework for Integration of Power Systems Applications”, IEEE Power & Energy Magazine, November/December 2003 (referred to as Zhu herein).

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of A. DeVos et al., *XML for CIM Model Exchange*, IEEE, 2001 (referred to herein as DeVos) of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6 use the term ‘and/or’. The

term “and/or” makes the claim vague in terms of what Applicant is intending to claim.
For purposes of examination “and/or” will be interpreted as “or”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant et al. (US 6,636,875) and further in view of Miller (US 5,506,984).

With respect to **claim 1**, Bashant teaches a method for retrieving and accessing data stored in a plurality of systems arranged for operating part of one or more electrical power networks, the method comprising:

providing a virtual asset register comprising elements of the systems, wherein similar elements in different systems are similarly represented in the virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 9 Li. 11-30, Table with in the hub system contains keys that correspond to a particular data element stored in the storage systems and contains information relating to how the data elements are stored),

adding a new object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 25-31, upon creation of a new data element in a storage system, the hub system must be informed through an instruction to the hub system) and data related to the new object into a first system (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 31-39, the instruction relating to the new element may include a header containing information relating to the new element & Col. 10 Li. 42-45, the header information is inputted into the table),

adding a copy of the new object into a plurality of relevant systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 50-53, the hub system forwards indication of the new element to other storage systems for creation),

registering the new object in the virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 25-49, upon creation of a new element, the hub system must be informed so that the table can be updated, the hub system creates a new identifier and a new entry in the table),

establishing automatically a connection between said relevant systems and the new object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 40-63, a new universal identifier is created in the hub system and can also be created on each storage system and each storage system must inform the hub system of how the data element is stored),

replicating data related to the new object from the new object to other systems and relevant systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 50-52, the instruction relating to the new element is forwarded to storage systems for replication, this instruction may include the universal identifier and a data element type name of the new element),

establishing a consistency of accessed or retrieved data in the relevant systems by mapping the new object using a virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 –

Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems & Col. 8 Li. 37-49, maintenance of the table within the hub system allows a data element to be treated or referenced by one storage system and then be synchronized with the other storage systems),

checking a consistency of attributes of the accessed or retrieved data by identifying the new or a given object and/or copies of the new or a given object and comparing attributes of all copies of the same new or given object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 65-67 – Col. 11 Li. 1-6, when an existing data element is modified or referenced, the hub system is informed so that the other systems can be synchronized).

Bashant teaches that the user accesses the storage systems to perform various actions (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 30-51). Bashant also discloses that the user may create, modify, delete, or move a data element in the storage systems, however, doesn't provide details as to how the elements are retrieved. Therefore, Bashant doesn't expressly disclose providing the systems with user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods, requesting data relating to a target object included in one of the systems, identifying relevant systems including data relating to the target object, retrieving the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard interfaces.

Bashant and Miller are directed towards managing data from a plurality of sources. Miller discloses a method for accessing data from a plurality of sources

Art Unit: 2169

through an information broker that cross-references data among the sources (Miller, Col. 3 Li. 65 - Col. 4 Li. 4).

Miller teaches providing the systems with user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods (Miller, Figs. 7&8 & Col. 6 Li. 9-16, interface is coupled to the organization engine which manages different databases),

requesting data relating to a target object included in one of the systems (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 55-61, the users may generate a query directed towards the databases),

identifying relevant systems including data relating to the target object (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 61-67, if the record isn't found in the first database, the query is directed to a referenced record in the next database and found), and

retrieving the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard interfaces (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 66-67, when the record is found it is returned to the user interface).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant to have included providing the systems with user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods, requesting data relating to a target object included in one of the systems, identifying relevant systems including data relating to the target object, retrieving the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard

interfaces because it provides users with a means to access and retrieve information from a plurality of sources through one interface (Miller, Col. 3 Li. 65 – Col. 4 Li. 11).

With respect to **claim 7**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising:

selecting an object utilizing an identifier in any said relevant system (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 27-44, the identifier informs the hub system of the precise data element that was treated).

With respect to **claim 12**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising:

deleting an object by deleting the object in all relevant systems (Bashant, Col. 12 Li. 4-12, the instruction will be forwarded so that the other storage systems can likewise delete the data element).

With respect to **claim 13**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 12, further comprising:

deleting an object by deleting a defined object in each system (Bashant, Col. 12 Li. 4-12, the instruction will be forwarded so that the other storage systems can likewise delete the data element).

With respect to **claim 14**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 13, further comprising:

deleting an object by deleting object connections to a deleted object or deleted defined object (Bashant, Col. 12 Li. 67 – Col. 13 Li. 1-7, the instruction to delete a data element in a storage system can be sent to the hub system which would then delete the entry in the table).

With respect to **claim 15**, Bashant teaches a computer program product for retrieving and accessing data stored in a plurality of systems arranged for operating part of one or more electrical power networks, the computer program product comprising:

a computer readable medium; and
software code portions or computer code recorded on the computer readable medium to cause a computer or processor to carry out the steps of
providing a virtual asset register comprising elements of the systems, wherein similar elements in different systems are similarly represented in the virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 9 Li. 11-30, Table with in the hub system contains keys that correspond to a particular data element stored in the storage systems and contains information relating to how the data elements are stored),

adding a new object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 25-31, upon creation of a new data element in a storage system, the hub system must be informed through an instruction to the hub system) and data related to the new object into a first system (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 31-39, the instruction relating to the new element may include a header containing

information relating to the new element & Col. 10 Li. 42-45, the header information is inputted into the table),

adding a copy of the new object into a plurality of relevant systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 50-53, the hub system forwards indication of the new element is to other storage systems for creation),

registering the new object in the virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 25-49, upon creation of a new element, the hub system must be informed so that the table can be updated, the hub system creates a new identifier and a new entry in the table),

establishing automatically a connection between said relevant systems and the new object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 40-63, a new universal identifier is created in the hub system and can also be created on each storage system and each storage system must inform the hub system of how the data element is stored),

replicating data related to the new object to other systems and relevant systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 50-52, the instruction relating to the new element is forwarded to storage systems for replication, this instruction may include the universal identifier and a data element type name of the new element),

establishing a consistency of accessed or retrieved data in the relevant systems mapping the new object using a virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems & Col. 8 Li. 37-49, maintenance of the table within the hub system allows a data element to be treated or

referenced by one storage system and then be synchronized with the other storage systems),

checking a consistency of attributes of the accessed or retrieved data by identifying the new or a given object and/or copies of the new or a given object and comparing attributes of all copies of the same new or given object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 65-67 – Col. 11 Li. 1-6, when an existing data element is modified or referenced, the hub system is informed so that the other systems can be synchronized),

Bashant teaches that the user accesses the storage systems to perform various actions (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 30-51). Bashant also discloses that the user may create, modify, delete, or move a data element in the storage systems, however, doesn't provide details as to how the elements are retrieved. Therefore, Bashant doesn't expressly disclose providing the systems with user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods, requesting data relating to a target object included in one of the systems, identifying relevant systems including data relating to the target object, retrieving the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard interfaces.

Bashant and Miller are directed towards managing data from a plurality of sources. Miller discloses a method for accessing data from a plurality of sources through an information broker that cross-references data among the sources (Miller, Col. 3 Li. 65 - Col. 4 Li. 4).

Miller teaches providing the systems with user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods

Art Unit: 2169

(Miller, Figs. 7&8 & Col. 6 Li. 9-16, interface is coupled to the organization engine which manages different databases),

requesting data relating to a target object included in one of the systems (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 55-61, the users may generate a query directed towards the databases),

identifying relevant systems including data relating to the target object (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 61-67, if the record isn't found in the first database, the query is directed to a referenced record in the next database and found), and

retrieving the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard interfaces (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 66-67, when the record is found it is returned to the user interface).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant to have included providing the systems with user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods, requesting data relating to a target object included in one of the systems, identifying relevant systems including data relating to the target object, retrieving the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard interfaces because the it provides users with a means to access and retrieve information from a plurality of sources through one interface (Miller, Col. 3 Li. 65 – Col. 4 Li. 11).

Claims 2-6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller and further in view of Zhu, Jun “A Web Services Based Framework for Integration of Power Systems Applications”, IEEE Power & Energy Magazine, November/December 2003 (referred to as Zhu herein).

With respect to **claim 2**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising maintaining object connections for the new object and for any other object accessed, retrieved and/or stored (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 37-44, the accurate maintenance of the table allows a data element to be treated or referenced by one storage system and then synchronized with other storage systems).

Bashant in view of Miller doesn't expressly disclose maintaining object connections for objects in a SCADA system as well as any system from the list of: GIS system, ERP system, CMMS system, PM system, WO system, WMS system. The type of system managed doesn't change the function of the invention. These systems, while being well known in the art, all have databases just like the systems disclosed in Bashant in view of Miller. However, Zhu has been provided to show that the system of Bashant in view of Miller could be used with SCADA and GIS systems.

Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu are directed towards managing data among a plurality of systems.

Zhu discloses SCADA and GIS systems being reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over

the Internet. The utility Web services are developed to provide the common infrastructure supports for the integrated utility information system (Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller to have included maintaining object connections for objects in a SCADA system as well as any system from the list of: GIS system, ERP system, CMMS system, PM system, WO system, WMS system because operation of distribution systems require information from many sources requiring an integration of legacy power system applications (Zhu, pg. 41, left column, 1st paragraph).

With respect to **claim 3**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the method according to claim 2, further comprising:

mapping the new object and/or copies of the new object (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems and are in XML format) using a model based on a CIM/XML document (Zhu, Fig. 9 & pg. 45, CIM/XML allows foreign systems to parse and consume data that was originally in a standard base format).

With respect to **claim 4**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the method according to claim 2, further comprising:

mapping attributes of the new object and/or copies of the new object (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems and are in XML format) using a model based on a CIM/XML document (Zhu, pg. 45, seamless integration of vendors' proprietary information requires an industry-wide standard for describing power system resources, their attributes and relationships; this is provided by CIM and its extensions).

With respect to **claim 5**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising:

establishing the automatic connection or connections between copies of the same object in different systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 50-63, the storage systems informs the hub system how the data element is stored so that the element in the table can be updated).

Bashant in view of Miller doesn't expressly disclose utilizing a virtual asset register dependent on the CIM/XML layer and/or mapping.

Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu are directed towards managing data among a plurality of systems.

Zhu teaches establishing the automatic connection or connections between copies of the same object in different systems utilizing a CIM/XML layer (Zhu, Fig. 9 & pg. 45, CIM/XML allows foreign systems to parse and consume data that was originally in a standard base format).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller to have included establishing the automatic connection or connections between copies of the same object in different systems utilizing a CIM/XML layer because it provides efficient methods for translating and parsing of different types of data (Zhu, pg. 45, CIM/XML for Data Exchange).

With respect to **claim 6**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 1, further comprising:

mapping the new object utilizing a virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems).

Bashant in view of Miller doesn't expressly disclose utilizing a virtual asset register dependent on the CIM/XML layer and/or mapping.

Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu are directed towards managing data among a plurality of systems.

Zhu teaches utilizing a virtual asset register (Zhu, pg. 46, the Web-services-based integration framework consolidating the functions of the participating actions) dependent on the CIM/XML layer and/or mapping (Zhu, pg. 45, CIM/XML for Data Exchange, Web services used to integrate legacy applications exchange information in CIM/XML such that languages can properly be translated and understood by foreign applications).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller to have included using the CIM/XML layer because it provides efficient methods for translating and parsing of different types of data (Zhu, pg. 45, CIM/XML for Data Exchange).

With respect to **claim 9**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the method according to claim 4, further comprising:

accessing one or more object attributes of the new object and changing an object attribute of the new object in a source system (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 65-67 – Col. 11 Li. 1-6, when an existing data element is modified or referenced, the hub system is informed so that the other systems can be synchronized).

With respect to **claim 10**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the method according to claim 4, further comprising:

updating an object attribute of the new object in the source (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 65-67 – Col. 11 Li. 1-6, when an existing data element is modified or referenced, the hub system is informed so that the other systems can be synchronized).

Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of A. DeVos et al., *XML for CIM Model Exchange*, IEEE, 2001 (referred to herein as DeVos).

With respect to **claim 8**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 7, as discussed above. The identifiers discussed in Bashant are defined a unique value, symbol, or combination thereof (Bashant, Col. 4 Li. 15-20). Therefore, Bashant in view of Miller doesn't expressly discuss a method wherein the identifier may be a Uniform Resource Identifier compatible as an identifier with a standard for Resource Description Framework.

Bashant in view of Miller and DeVos are directed towards exchanging information between storage devices. DeVos teaches a method of using a Common Information Model (CIM) with the Resource Description Framework (RDF) which describes graphs in XML (DeVos, Pg. 33 Part F, 1st paragraph).

DeVos teaches a method wherein the identifier may be a Uniform Resource Identifier compatible as an identifier with a standard for Resource Description Framework (DeVos, Pg. 33, part F, 3rd paragraph, in the RDF model, a Uniform Resource Identifier is used to designate a resource).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller to have included a method wherein the identifier may be a Uniform Resource Identifier compatible as an identifier with a standard for Resource Description Framework because the URI is a standard used to identify resources in the RDF model and the RDF model addresses the problem of representing entities and

relationships, such as directed labeled graphs, in XML (DeVos, Pg. 33, part F, 1st and 3rd paragraphs).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hamsa (US 6,564,201).

With respect to **claim 11**, Bashant in view of Miller teaches the method according to claim 1, as discussed above. Bashant discusses creating a new element where each element is defined as a specific set of data (Bashant, Col. 4 Li. 13). However, Bashant in view of Miller doesn't expressly discuss creating the new object in each relevant system based on object templates.

Bashant in view of Miller and Hamsa are directed towards the integration of many systems.

Hamsa teaches creating the new object in each relevant system based on object templates (Hamsa, Col. 5 Li. 1-4, each object is the instance of a class, which provides a template for the object).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller to have included creating the new object in each relevant system based on object templates because class templates create objects having the

same fields but where each object can have different information in those fields (Hamsa, Col. 5 Li. 5-9).

Claims 17-23, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant et al. (US 6,636,875) and further in view of Miller (US 5,506,984) and Zhu, Jun “A Web Services Based Framework for Integration of Power Systems Applications”, IEEE Power & Energy Magazine, November/December 2003 (referred to as Zhu herein).

With respect to **claim 17**, Bashant teaches a computer-based system for retrieving and accessing data said computer-based system comprising:

a plurality of systems storing the data (Bashant, Fig. 2, storage systems 34, 35, 36, 38, and 39),

a virtual asset register comprising elements of the systems, wherein similar elements in different systems are similarly represented in the virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 9 Li. 11-30, Table with in the hub system contains keys that correspond to a particular data element stored in the storage systems and contains information relating to how the data elements are stored), wherein objects added to the systems are registered in the virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 25-49, upon creation of a new element, the hub system must be informed so that the table can be updated, the hub system creates a new identifier and a new entry in the table)

a plurality of databases (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 21-22, each storage system includes a database),

a data communication network and which system includes an HMI (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 30-51, users can treat or reference data elements)

a consistency establisher configured to establish a consistency of accessed or retrieved data in the relevant systems utilizing mapping data related to a new object to be added to the data using a virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems & Col. 8 Li. 37-49, maintenance of the table within the hub system allows a data element to be treated or referenced by one storage system and then be synchronized with the other storage systems),

one or more members for checking the consistency of attributes of any data so accessed or retrieved data by identifying the or each new or given object and/or copies of the new or given object in any separate system and comparing attributes of all such copies of the same new or given object from each of the separate systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 65-67 – Col. 11 Li. 1-6, when an existing data element is modified or referenced, the hub system is informed so that the other systems can be synchronized).

Bashant doesn't expressly discuss user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods, a data requester configured to request data relating to a target object included in one of the systems, an identifier configured to identify relevant systems including data relating to

the target object, and a data retriever configured to retrieve the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems.

Bashant and Miller are directed towards managing data from a plurality of sources. Miller discloses a method for accessing data from a plurality of sources through an information broker that cross-references data among the sources (Miller, Col. 3 Li. 65 - Col. 4 Li. 4).

Miller teaches user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods (Miller, Figs. 7&8 & Col. 6 Li. 9-16, interface is coupled to the organization engine which manages different databases),

a data requester configured to request data relating to a target object included in one of the systems (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 55-61, the users may generate a query directed towards the databases),

an identifier configured to identify relevant systems including data relating to the target object (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 61-67, if the record isn't found in the first database, the query is directed to a referenced record in the next database and found), and

a data retriever configured to retrieve the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems utilizing the standard interfaces (Miller, Col. 7 Li. 66-67, when the record is found it is returned to the user interface).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant to have included user standard interfaces having standard object-oriented navigation and selection, and input and display methods, a data requester configured to

request data relating to a target object included in one of the systems, an identifier configured to identify relevant systems including data relating to the target object, and a data retriever configured to retrieve the data regarding the target object from identified relevant systems because it provides users with a means to access and retrieve information from a plurality of sources through one interface (Miller, Col. 3 Li. 65 – Col. 4 Li. 11).

Bashant in view of Miller doesn't expressly discuss a plurality of systems storing the data, wherein the data is arranged for operating part of one or more electrical power networks and a data communication network and which system includes an HMI providing navigation and access to at least one SCADA system and/or database as well as to any system and/or database from the list of: ERP, GIS, CMMS, WO, WMS, PM.

The type of system managed doesn't change the function of the invention. These systems, while being well known in the art, all have databases just like the systems disclosed in Bashant in view of Miller. However, Zhu has been provided to show that the system of Bashant in view of Miller could be used with SCADA and GIS systems.

Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu are directed towards managing data among a plurality of systems.

Zhu teaches a plurality of systems storing the data, wherein the data is arranged for operating part of one or more electrical power networks (Zhu, pg. 41, power systems applications).

a data communication network and which system includes an HMI providing navigation and access to at least one SCADA system and/or database (Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph, SCADA and GIS systems being reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over the Internet).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller to have included a plurality of systems storing the data, wherein the data is arranged for operating part of one or more electrical power networks and a data communication network and which system includes an HMI providing navigation and access to at least one SCADA system and/or database as well as to any system and/or database from the list of: ERP, GIS, CMMS, WO, WMS, PM because operation of distribution systems require information from many sources requiring an integration of legacy power system applications (Zhu, pg. 41, left column, 1st paragraph).

With respect to **claim 18**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 17, further comprising:

one or members for: adding a new object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 25-31, upon creation of a new data element in a storage system, the hub system must be informed); automatically establishing a connection between said relevant systems and the new object (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 40-63, a new universal identifier is created in the hub

system and can also be created on each storage system and each storage system must inform the hub system of how the data element is stored); and for replicating data related to the new object to other systems and relevant systems (Bashant, Col. 10 Li. 50-52, the instruction is forwarded to storage systems for replication).

With respect to **claim 19**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 18, further comprising:

one or members for: maintaining object connections (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 37-44, the accurate maintenance of the table allows a data element to be treated or referenced by one storage system and then synchronized with other storage systems); providing connection or connections utilizing a layer with a structured text document protocol (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10, the headers of the instructions provide the hub system with information to facilitate mapping between identifiers of separate storage systems and are in XML format); and mapping the new object utilizing a structured text document model (Zhu, Fig. 9 & pg. 45, CIM/XML allows foreign systems to parse and consume data that was originally in a standard base format).

With respect to **claim 20**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 19, wherein any of: the structured text document protocol layer, or the structured text document for mapping the new object are implemented with a CIM/XML model (Zhu, Fig. 9 & pg. 45, CIM/XML allows foreign systems to parse and consume data that was originally in a standard base format).

With respect to **claim 21**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 17, further comprising:

a virtual asset register (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 58-65, the table interface includes an identifier matching system which utilizes an identifier received from a sending system to obtain information pertaining to other storage systems where the treated or referenced data element is also stored).

With respect to **claim 22**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 21, wherein said asset register comprises a list of power network assets which list comprises in turn cross reference and mapping data for objects represented and/or stored (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 61065, table interface includes a cross-reference system that includes a identifier matching system which utilizes an identifier to obtain information pertaining to other storage systems) in a SCADA system as well as in any system from the list of: GIS system, ERP system, CMMS system because the GIS system provides information about the geographical location of devices and the ERP system provides information about the maintenance history of the devices and SCADA system (Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph, SCADA and GIS systems being reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over the Internet & Fig. 9 & pg. 45, CIM/XML allows foreign systems to parse and consume data that was originally in a standard base format).

With respect to **claim 23**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 21, wherein said asset register comprises a list of references for all objects representing individual items of physical and/or logical equipment comprised in the one or more parts of the said power network (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 58-67 – Col. 9 Li. 1-30, the table includes keys that correspond to a particular data element stored in the storage systems).

With respect to **claim 27**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 17, further comprising:

a virtual asset register implemented according to an XML or CIM model or document (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-54 & Col. 10 Li. 26-38, the table is created according to XML instructions the table in the hub system receives).

With respect to **claim 28**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 17, further comprising:

an HMI that may comprise object data accessed or retrieved or stored (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 30-51, users can treat or reference a data element in a storage system) in a SCADA system and/or database as well object data originating in any system and/or database from the list of: ERP, GIS, CMMS, WO, PM (Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph, SCADA and GIS systems being

reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over the Internet).

With respect to **claim 29**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 17, further comprising:

a display comprising a human-machine interface for retrieving and accessing data stored in a plurality of systems arranged for operating part of one or more electrical power networks (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 30-51, users can treat or reference a data element in a storage system), which HMI comprises data accessed or retrieved from or stored (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 3-8, i/o interfaces) in a SCADA system, and also comprising data accessed or retrieved from or stored in any from the list of: GIS system, ERP system, CMMS system, PM system, WO system (Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph, SCADA and GIS systems being reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over the Internet).

With respect to **claim 30**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 29, wherein the human-machine interface comprises at least one graphical user interface a data manipulator configured to manipulate the data retrieved from or stored (Bashant, Col. 5 Li. 30-51, users can treat or reference a data element in a storage system) in the SCADA and any of the systems for GIS and/or ERP and/or CMMS (Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based

Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph, SCADA and GIS systems being reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over the Internet).

With respect to **claim 31**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 29, wherein said human-machine interface reads out any object property independent of source (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 54-57, users can keep statistics regarding the treatment of the data elements and the volume of instruction sending/receiving performed by each storage system).

With respect to **claim 32**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 29, wherein the human-machine interface comprises access to simultaneous data stored in or held by any of the list of: SCADA system, GIS system, ERP system, CMMS system, PM system, WO system (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 54-57, users can keep statistics regarding the treatment of the data elements and the volume of instruction sending/receiving performed by each storage system & Zhu, pg. 46, right column, Service-Based Architecture, 2nd & 3rd paragraph, SCADA and GIS systems being reengineered by laying an interface on top of the existing legacy applications to allow them to be accessed over the Internet).

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu as applied to claim 23 above, and further

in view of A. DeVos et al., *XML for CIM Model Exchange*, IEEE, 2001 (referred to herein as DeVos).

With respect to **claim 24**, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu teaches the computer-based system according to claim 23, as discussed above. The list of references in Bashant is created according to XML instructions the table in the hub system receives (Bashant, Col. 6 Li. 45-54 & Col. 10 Li. 26-38). Zhu discloses the use of a CIM model to describe power system resources such that foreign systems can understand documents (Zhu, pg. 45, CIM/XML for Data Exchange), however, Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu doesn't expressly discuss a method wherein the list comprises a master list of all objects in the one or more parts of the said power network together with the mapping data for each object according to a CIM model.

Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu and DeVos are directed towards exchanging information between storage devices. DeVos teaches a method of using a Common Information Model (CIM) with the Resource Description Framework (RDF) which describes graphs in XML (DeVos, Pg. 33 Part F, 1st paragraph).

DeVos teaches a method wherein the list comprises a master list of all objects in the one or more parts of the said power network together with the mapping data for each object according to a CIM model (DeVos, Pg. 34, section IV, 3rd and 4th paragraphs, the CIM names each class, its attributes and relationships, creating a common data dictionary).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have modified Bashant in view of Miller and Zhu to have included a method wherein the list comprises a master list of all objects in the one or more parts of the said power network together with the mapping data for each object according to a CIM model because the CIM model provides a comprehensive, logical view of energy management system information (DeVos, Pg. 34, section IV, 2nd paragraph).

With respect to **claim 25**, Bashant in view of Miller, Zhu, and DeVos teaches the computer-based system according to claim 24, wherein object data for the objects comprised in the master list of the asset register is stored in at least one separate system (Bashant, Col. 8 Li. 58-67 – Col. 9 Li. 1-30, the table includes keys that correspond to a particular data element stored in the storage systems) including any of a system for: SCADA, GIS, CMMS, ERP, PM, WO (Zhu, pg. 41, first paragraph, & Fig. 11, SCADA & GIS system having data stored therein).

With respect to **claim 26**, Bashant in view of Miller, Zhu, and DeVos teaches the computer-based system according to claim 24, wherein the asset register is a virtual asset register, which does not comprise any object data for the objects comprised in the master list and comprises only link information or cross reference data or mapping data (Bashant, Fig. 3, table interface and table containing only system info and record references).

Response to Arguments

Rejection of claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 12-15, 17-19, 21-23, and 27-32 under 35 U.S.C. 103

Applicant seems to argue a newly amended limitation. Applicant's arguments, see pg. 11, with respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration of the amendment, a new grounds of rejection is made in view of Miller (US 5,506,984).

Applicant's arguments, see pg. 12 with respect to claim 1, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues in substance that Bashant doesn't deal with data that is not the same and that originates in different elements of an electrical power network. It is not clear what Applicant intends by stating that the claimed invention can "deal with data that is not the same." Bashant teaches that a new element in one system may be updated, which requires that update to be reflected in other systems that reference that data (Col. 10 Li. 26-63). This data element may be customer data, insurance data, etc. (Col. 9 Li. 45-65). Additionally, it can be seen in Bashant that an element may be in any of the data storage systems (Col. 5 Li. 30-33). Applicant further argues that none of the previously cited references teaches a virtual asset register. Claim 1 only requires the virtual asset register to have "elements of the systems, wherein similar elements in different systems are similarly represented in the virtual asset register", have new objects registered therein, and to map the new object in order to establish consistency of accessed or retrieved data. Bashant teaches these

limitations as mapped in the rejection above. Bashant's hub system provides a table for mapping elements of different systems (Col. 6 Li. 45-67 – Col. 7 Li. 1-10 & Col. 9 Li. 11-30).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brittany N. McCue whose telephone number is (571)270-3566. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tony Mahmoudi can be reached on (571)272-4078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. N. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 2169
4-16-10

/Tony Mahmoudi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2169

Application/Control Number: 10/590,028
Art Unit: 2169

Page 34